## TABLE OF CONTENTS **SESSION 1 Cyber Crime – Emerging Trends, Modus, Motivations, Intentions, Threats** Dr. Nishant Chaudhary, Cyber Crime in India: Current Trends, International 1. Journal of Advanced Research in Commerce, Management & Social Science 1 (IJARCMSS) Volume 04, No. 01, January - March, 2021, pp 271-273 Abraham D. Sofaer and Seymour E. Goodman, Cyber Crime and Security - The 2. 4 Transnational Dimension, Hoover Press: Cyber DP5 HPCYBE0100 06-25-:1 11:43:01 rev1 Darknet Cybercrime Threats to Southeast Asia 2020, United Nations Office on 3. Drugs and Crime (UNODC) This report assesses the Darkweb from user, criminal and law enforcement 38 perspectives with a particular focus on cybercriminality targeted at Southeast Asian countries. Darknets (i.e. networks on the Darkweb) provide the ideal environment for a wide range of criminal activities. Chaz Vidal and Kim-Kwang Raymond Choo, Situational Crime Prevention 4. **78** and the Mitigation of Cloud Computing Threats, LNICST 239, pp. 218–233, 2018 Alana Maurushat, Abubakar Bello & Braxton Bragg, Artificial Intelligence 5. Enabled Cyber Fraud: A Detailed Look into Payment Diversion Fraud and 94 Ransomware, 15 Indian Journal of Law and Technology 261-299 (2019) 6. Vasundhara Majithia, The Changing Landscape of Intermediary Liability for E-Commerce Platforms: Emergence of a New Regime, 15 Indian Journal of Law and 124 Technology 470-493 (2019) **Rules:** 1. **Information Technology (Intermediary Guidelines and Digital Media Ethics** 140 Code) Rules, 2021 7. Case Law: 156 ✓ Manohar Lal Sharma v. Union of India and Others, 2021 SCC OnLine SC 985 172 ✓ A v. State of Uttar Pradesh and Another, (2020) 10 SCC 505 179 ✓ Google India Pvt. Ltd. v. Visaka Industries, (2020) 4 SCC 162 ✓ Internet and Mobile Association of India v. Reserve Bank of India, (2020) 10 236 SCC 274 346 ✓ Tehseen S. Poonawalla v. Union of India, (2019) 9 SCC 501 ✓ Gagan Harsh Sharma and Another v. State of Maharashtra Through Sr. Police 370 Inspector and Another, (2019) 1 AIR Bom R (Cri) 201 386 ✓ Videos of Sexual Violence and Recommendations, In Re, (2018) 15 SCC 551 ✓ Christian Louboutin v. Nakul Bajaj & Ors., (2018) 253 DLT 728 418 445 ✓ Sharat Babu Digumarti v. Government (NCT of Delhi), (2017) 2 SCC 18 462 ✓ Myspace Inc. v. Super Cassettes Industries Ltd., (2017) 236 DLT 478 (DB) 495 ✓ Ramesh Rajagopal v. Devi Polymers Pvt. Ltd., (2016) 6 SCC 310 502 ✓ Shreya Singhal v. Union of India, (2015) 5 SCC 1

	✓ Sabu Mathew George v. Union of India and Others, (2015) 11 SCC 545 ✓ Nasscom v. Ajay Sood, (2005) 119 DLT 596	652 661
	✓ Yahoo!, Inc. v. Akash Arora & Anr., (1999) 78 DLT 285  SESSION 2	665
	Jurisdictional Issues in Adjudication of Cybercrimes	
1.	Dr. Justice S. Muralidhar, <i>Jurisdictional Issues in Cyberspace</i> , 6 Indian Journal of Law and Technology 1-42 (2010)	672
2.	Alexandra Perloff-Giles, <i>Transnational Cyber Offenses: Overcoming, Jurisdictional Challenges</i> , 43 YALE J. INT'l L. 191 (2018).	715
3.	Justice Surya Kant, Cyber Space And Jurisdictional Concerns, Uttarakhand Judicial & Legal Review	757
4.	Jyoti Rattan, Cyber Jurisdiction: A Seamy Side of Cyber Sovereignty with Special Reference to India, 5(1) GNLU Law Review 52-69 (2018)	762
5.	Mudassir Nazir, <i>Jurisdiction in Cyber Space: Issues and Challenge's</i> , 9 CPJ Law Journal 198-223 (2019)	775
6.	Julia Hörnle, <i>The Conundrum of Internet Jurisdiction and How US Law has Influenced the Jurisdiction Analysis in India</i> , 14 Indian Journal of Law and Technology 183-211 (2018)	795
7.	Jan Kleijssen & Pierluigi Perri, <i>Cybercrime</i> , <i>Evidence and Territoriality: Issues and Options</i> , <i>M</i> . Kuijer and W. Werner (eds.), Netherlands Yearbook of International Law 2016, Netherlands Yearbook of International Law 47	819
8.	Case Law:	
	✓ Tata Sons Private Limited v. Hakunamatata Tata Founders & Others CS(COMM) 316/2021 & I.A.8000/2021	846
	✓ Swami Ramdev and Another v. Facebook, Inc. and Others 2019 SCC OnLine Del 10701	868
	✓ Impresario Entertainment & Hospitality Pvt. Ltd. v. S & D Hospitality, (2018) 246 DLT 337	903
	✓ World Wrestling Entertainment Inc v. M/s. Reshma Collection & Ors., (2017) 237 DLT 197	913
	✓ Lalitha Lakshaman v. CBI 2017 SCC OnLine Del 6435	926
	<ul> <li>✓ Thota Venkateswarlu v. State of Andhra Pradesh (2011) 9 SCC 527</li> <li>✓ Banyan Tree Holding (P) Limited v. A. Murali Krishna Reddy &amp; Anr., (2010)</li> <li>42 PTC 361</li> </ul>	936 941
	✓ (India TV) Independent News Service Pvt. Ltd. v. India Broadcast Live LLC & Ors., ILR (2007) II Delhi 1231	964
	✓ Om Hemranjani v. State of U.P and Another (2005) 1 SCC 617	1001
	✓ SIL Import, USA v. Exim Aides Silk Exporters, Bangalore, (1999) 4 SCC 567	1008
	✓ Ajay Aggarwal v. Union of India and Others (1993) 3 SCC 609	1015
	Judgments of US Supreme Court on Jurisdiction:	
	✓ Rose v. Himely 8 U.S. 241 (1808) ✓ Hudson & Smith v. Guestie 10 U.S. 281 (1810)	1034
		1086

	✓ American Banana Co. v. United Fruit Co 213 U.S. 347 (1909) ✓ Zippo Manufacturing Company v. Zippo Dot Com, Inc 952 F. Supp. 1119	1092 1105
	<ul> <li>✓ Amway Corp. v. The Procter &amp; Gamble Co. 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 372 (W.D. Mich. 2000)</li> <li>✓ Young v. New Haven Advocate 315 F.3d 256 (4th Cir. 2002), cert. denied,</li> </ul>	1110
	538 U.S. 1035 (2003)  ✓ Boschetto v. Hansing 539 F.3d 1011 (9th Cir. 2008), cert. denied, 555 U.S.	1113
	1171 (2009)  ✓ Tamburo v. Dworkin 601 F.3d 693 (7th Cir.), cert. denied, 131 S. Ct. 567 (2010)	1118 1124
C 6	SESSION 3	4.
Safe	guarding Judicial Institutions from Cyber-attacks: Cyber Security and Data Prot	ection
1.	Cyber Security Basics for Courts, Prepared by JTC Resource Bulletin - December, 2019	1131
2.	Data Governance Policy Guide, National Center for State Courts, December 2019	1172
3.	CPEJ Report, Toolkit for supporting the implementation of the Guidelines on how to drive change towards Cyberjustice, Strasbourg 14 June 2019, CEPEJ (2019) 7	1210
4.	Data Protection & Cybersecurity, Prepared by Law and Practice contributed by ANA Law Group – April, 2019	1257
5.	Brian J. McLaughlin, Cybersecurity: Protecting Court Data Assets 2018 Trends in State Courts 67-72 (2018)	1274
6.	Logan Finucan, Erika Barros Sierra, et.al., Smart Courts: Roadmap for Digital Transformation of Justice in Africa, 29 June 2018	1280
7.	Case Law  ✓ Swapnil Tripathi v. Supreme Court of India, (2018) 10 SCC 639  ✓ Pradyuman Bisht v. Union of India, (2018) 15 SCC 433  ✓ State of Maharashtra v. Praful B. Dasai, (2003) 4 SCC 601	1306 1320 1325
	SESSION 4 Admissibility and Appreciation of Electronic Evidence	
1.	Dr. Justice S. Muralidhar, <i>Compilation of Judgments on Electronic Evidence</i> Workshop of Additional District Judges, National Judicial Academy, Bhopal-August 18, 2018	1342
2.	Justice Kurian Joseph, Admissibility of Electronic Evidence, (2016) 5 SCC J-1	1381
3.	Justice Raja Vijayaraghavan, <i>Electronic Evidence</i> , Workshop on Adjudicating Terrorism Cases National Judicial Academy, Bhopal-January 24, 2021	1388
4.	Dr. Swati Mehta, <i>Cyber Forensics and Admissibility of Digital Evidence</i> , (2011) 5 SCC J-54	1405
5.	Orin S. Kerr, <i>Searches and Seizures in a Digital World</i> , 119 Harvard Law Review 531-585 (2005)	1423

6.	Standard Operating Procedures for the Collection, Analysis and Presentation of Electronic Evidence, Prepared by Cybercrime Programme Office of the Council of Europe (C-PROC) – 12 <sup>th</sup> September 2019	1478		
7.	7. Case Law:			
	✓ Arjun Panditrao Khotkar v. Kailash Kushanrao Gorantyal & Ors, (2020) 7 SCC	1524		
	✓ P. Gopalkrishnan v. State of Kerala and Anr., (2020) 9 SCC 161	1610		
	✓ Virendra Khanna v. State of Karnataka and Ors. W.P. No. 11759/2020 (GM-res)	1647		
	✓ State by Karnataka Lokayukta, Police Station, Bengaluru v. M.R. Hiremath,			
	(2019) 7 SCC 515	1785		
	✓ Shamsher Singh Verma v. State of Haryana, (2016) 15 SCC 485	1797		
	✓ Sanjaysinh Ramrao v. Dattatray Gulabrao Phalke, (2015) 3 SCC 123	1802		
	✓ Tomaso Bruno v. State of Uttar Pradesh (2015) 7 SCC 178	1817		
	✓ Anvar v. P.K. Basheer and Ors., (2014) 10 SCC 473	1837		
	✓ Gajraj v. State (NCT of Delhi), (2011) 10 SCC 675	1855		
	✓ Tukaram S. Dighole v. Manikrao Shivaji Kokali, AIR 2010 SC 965	1862		
SESSION 5				
	Scientific Evidence and Expert Testimony			
1.	Deepali Sharma, Admissibility of Genetic Evidence in Indian Courts, Admissibility	1873		
	of Genetic Evidence in Indian Courts, Uttarakhand Judicial & Legal Review			
_	David L. Faigman, Christopher Slobogin, and John Monahan, Gatekeeping Science:			
2.	Using the Structure of Scientific Research to Distinguish between Admissibility	1876		
	and Weight in Expert Testimony, 110 Nw. U. L. Rev. 859 (2016).			
2	William Smart Inyang and Gabriel Femi Goodwil, Forensic Evidence: How Does	1022		
3.	Admissibility Influence Weight in the Law of Evidence?, International Journal of Business, Economics and Law, Vol. 21, Issue 5 (April) 2020	1923		
	Dr. Umamaheswari. D, Medico-Legal Aspects in the Admissibility of Scientific			
4.	Evidence, IJISET – International Journal of Innovative Science, Engineering &	1936		
	Technology, Vol. 1 Issue 8, October 2014			
5.	Evan Bell, <i>Judicial Assessment of Expert Evidence</i> , Judicial Studies Institute Journal 2010	1941		
6.	Tai Golan, <i>Revisiting the History of Scientific Expert Testimony</i> , 73 Brook. L. Rev. (2008).	1983		
	Case Law:			
	✓ Darbar Singh v. State of Punjab (2012) 10 SCC 476	2048		
	✓ Rohit Shekhar v. Narayan Dutt Tiwari (2012) 12 SCC 554	2057		
	✓ Selvi v. State of Karnataka (2010) 7 SCC 263	2071		
	✓ Malappa Sidappa Alakumar v. State of Karnataka AIR 2009 SC 2959	2181		
	✓ Ram Swaroop v. State of Rajasthan AIR 2008 SC 1747	2192		
	✓ State of Madhya Pradesh v. Sanjay Rai, AIR 2004 SC 2174	2195		
	✓ State of U.P. v. Ram Sewak (2003) 2 SCC 161	2204		
	✓ Alamgir v. State (N.C.T. Delhi) AIR 2003 SC 282	2212		
	✓ Keshavlal v. State of M.P., AIR 2002 SC 1221	2225		

	✓ State of Maharashtra v. Damu Gopinath AIR 2000 SC 1691	2229				
	✓ Ammini v. State, AIR 1998 SC 260	2245				
	✓ T.P. Divetia v. State of Gujrat AIR 1997 SC 2193	2259				
	✓ Prem v. Daula, AIR 1997 SC 719	2287				
	✓ State (CBI) v. S.J. Choudhary 1996 2 SCC 428	2292				
	✓ Nilabati Behra v. State, AIR 1993 SC 1960	2300				
	✓ Mahmood v. State of U.P AIR 1976 SC 69	2321				
	✓ Fakhruddin v. State of M.P AIR 1967 SC 1326	2326				
Guidelines/Rules/Bill						
	(Suggestive Reading)					
1.	The Information Technology (Reasonable Security Practices and Procedu Sensitive Personal Data or Information) Rules, 2011	res and				
2.	The Information Technology (Guidelines for Cyber Cafe) Rules, 2011					
3.	Budapest Convention on Cybercrime, 2001					
4.	Newsletter Reports for P-1271, 1273, & 1295 (Available at NJA Website)					